ILLEGIB

CAN THE SOVIET UNION "STAND DOWN"?

- 1. How responsive is the Soviet system to political decisions to shift resources between the civilian and military sectors—outlined in a way that contrasts the Soviet system to the US system.
 - a) the five-year planning cycle.
 - b) the development of a rigid bureaucracy at the national and regional levels.
 - c) the military-industrial complex.
 - 2. All this implies the following:
 - a) resistance to any effort to shift resources would be ferocious.
 - b) even without undue resistance, the impact of any major resource shift would not become apparent for 10 or 15 years.
 - c) any major shift of resources away from the military sector would require a fundamental change in the nature and structure of the Soviet state.
- 3. What the Russians can do short of fundamental change to perk up the economy through a minor shift of resources:
 - a) to boost productivity—they can produce more consumer goods to encourage the workers, and they can shift R&D personnel from military technology to civilian technology.
 - b) to clear bottlenecks—they can use materials now assigned to the military sector to fix up the transport system and the agricultural—machinery system.
 - 4. The impact of these "quick fixes" on the economy, versus the cost.
 - 5. Implications of the above for US policymakers.